

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/580,110	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MITTS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sarae Bausch	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** After-final Rejection

 (1) Jehanne Souaya Sitton.

 (3) Sarae Bausch.

 (2) W. Scott Harders.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 May 2004
**Time:** 3:30PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Pending

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed upon by both parties that the Examiners amendment would include the terminology "comprising" in claim 1 and "combinations thereof" in claim 1, 6, and 7. In addition the correction of the brief description of the drawings within the specification was agreed upon to include Fig. 2A-D.